# K50 Assignment 1 – Individual Submission

# Section 1 – Individual Setup

## Section 1 Part A – Organization and Plan – Mostly Individual

Objective: Understand the goals of the week. Come up with a plan and timetable.

***Individual Work***

Analyze this assignment and plan.

1. Identify the individual deliverables:

The individual deliverables include:

Section 1

Organizing ourselves and planning out the assignment so we can plan accordingly

Section 3

Prioritize the backlog

Section 4

Versioning and release notes

Parts of Section 5

Planning for the future – deliverables, challenges, effort

1. Identify the team deliverables:

The team deliverables include:

Section 2

Research, presentation, demo, refactoring

Parts of Section 5

Teamwork convergence

1. What is your estimate on when the individual portion will be complete? Negotiate with your team to agree upon a time you’ll meet and organize to start working on the team portion.

The idea will be that we will be meeting up at certain points to work as a team as we make progress individually. Ill be trying to finish all the individual stuff as soon as possible to be prepared for a discussion whenever.

https://github.com/SebastianBurke/W2025.git

1. State your estimate and the team rendezvous time as well as any concerns or risks. These must be written down and stated professionally and in a detailed fashion.

Our team has prepared a rendezvous Jan 28th for section 2 and Feb 4th for section 5.

## Section 1 Part B – Updating Work items from Dev I - Individual

Based on your Final comprehensive assignment of 420-K40-HR

1. Add your System Test bugs as “issues” in Azure DevOps.

Your bug report must have the details required to reproduce the bugs. Be sure to classify this as a “bug” work item and not a product backlog item.

1. Add Refactoring Proposals as a backlog item with tag “refactor”.
2. Do not worry if there are duplicates with someone else’s bug or refactor task. You’ll collectively clean that up later.

*Please note for future reference that the* ***cloud-based*** *Microsoft Azure DevOps implementation, Microsoft calls everything generically an “issue” even if it is what we in Computer Science would call a bug. This is due to the “Kanban” nature of tasks in Azure DevOps.*

**Update the tables below with your updates:**

**Bug List**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Azure DevOps Issue URL** | **Bug Title** |
|  | Your response |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Refactor Task List**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Azure DevOps Issue URL** | **Backlog Item** |
|  | Your response |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Section 1 Part C – Review Process and Standards - Individual

1. Review the team’s coding standards document and reconcile against samples of your code. We will be holding code inspections later on. Ideally, your team’s code meets this standard.
2. Find at least 3 defects and submit them to Azure DevOps.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Defect parameter** | **Possible values** |
| Origin | Requirements, Design, Implementation, Testing |
| Type | Missing, Wrong, Extra, Usability, Performance, Style, Clarity |
| Severity | Major, Minor |

**Code inspection defect report**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Filename/DevOps version/line#** | **DevOps Issue #** | **Origin** | **Type** | **Severity** | **Description/Comments** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Review the team’s coding standards document. If the document is wrong or needs to be updated, now is your chance to make some proposed changes.

Fill in the table below with your proposal. No more than 5 items.

**Proposed Changes to Coding Standards**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Proposal #** | **Category** | **Proposal/Description** |
| **1** |  |  |
| **2** |  |  |
| **3** |  |  |

1. Reflect on last semester’s sprints to generate process change proposals

Identify if new lessons learnt should be added. Define TWO process changes. Modify the below table as necessary.

What behaviours, approaches or processes would you change to improve the sprint process this semester to improve in the following categories:

* 1. Ability to deliver and complete User stories
  2. Ability to deliver quality (cover code standards, white and black box testing)
  3. Team and individual evaluation and scoring
  4. Meeting overhead (scrums, sprint reviews/planning, retrospectives).

**Proposed Changes to Process**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Proposal/Description** |
| **Ability to complete User Stories** |  |
| **Ability to Deliver quality** |  |
| **Team and Individual Scoring** |  |
| **Meeting Overhead** |  |
| **[<make your own category as you see fit>]** |  |

## Section 1 Part D – Budgeting - Individual

1. Review the timeframes for each of the following and provide the available person-hours to be applied to each.

Hint: count the number of your teammates. Look at the course outline for the expected hours per week in this course, then do the math. The course outline also contains the dates for each release. Assume “end of week” deadlines apply to assignments and sprints *( i.e. midnight on Fridays )*.

This is to understand how many hours were available in past timeframes, so that you can map it onto what is achievable moving forward.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Timeframe** | **Start date** | **Available person hours** |
| Release 0.1 – Sprint 1  *(Fall 2024)* |  | Note: ponderation and team size |
| Release 0.2 – Refactor Sprint 1  *(Winter 2025)*  *(2nd dev sprint overall)* |  | Your response |
| Release 0.3 – K50 Dev Sprint 1  *(Winter 2025)*  *(K50 Dev Sprint 1, 4th dev sprint overall)* |  |  |
| Release 0.4 – K50 Dev Sprint 2  *(Winter 2025)*  *(K50 Dev Sprint 1, 4th dev sprint overall)* |  |  |
| Release 0.5 – K50 Testing Sprint 1  (Winter 2025) |  |  |
| Release 0.6 – K50 Testing Sprint 1  *(Winter 2025)* |  |  |
| Beta Release 1 – K50 Testing Sprint 2  *(Winter 2025)* |  |  |

# Section 3 - Execution

## Section 3 Part A – Work on backlog prioritized issue list

*Individual Work:*

Take a task (bug or refactoring) from your prioritized list for the Refactor iteration and get working!

This is of course all utilizing the CSAZURE DevOps taskboard, as for every other Dev Proj sprint.

Do NOT work on any task that has not been committed to without agreement with your team and your project manager.

Follow the process. All changes must be reviewed.

There will be a team assessment done for this next week. You will scrum daily on this and treat it like a regular iteration. We’ll have a quick review on the iteration results later in the week.

**To submit**

When you have completed the assignment, the following deliverables are required:

**Individual:**

upload the **YourUserName\_K50\_A01\_SetupReassessRefactor.docx** document to Moodle

**Team:**

Meeting Minutes from Best Practices Presentation (email)

Refactor commit (email)

Memo from Group discussions (Moodle, ref 2.C)

Team self-assessment of commitments. Write a few statements indicating how you performed here, as a team.

Response to commit email at the end of the Refactor evaluation.

(email, end of refactor sprint).

*(“K50 Team #” must be in the email subject line or marks will be forfeited.)*

# Section 4: Versioning, Individual work

## Part A – Software Versioning

1. Propose a numbering plan on how your product should be versioned. Explain the rules/conditions for when each number is updated and how.
2. Propose a mockup of where the software version will be displayed on your product. It should be visible on every page.

## Part B – Release Notes

1. Identify the purpose of Release Notes and the intended audience.
2. Identify 3 articles on Release Notes Best Practices. Provide the links and a summary for each. List 5-8 best practices that you’d like to adopt described that you’d recommend.
3. Design your Project Team’s Release Notes. Provide a template for Release Notes that you would propose to your team. Your proposal should have enough detail so that a teammate would understand how to fill it out.

Capture the above in your document. The goal is to convince your teammates that you’ve got a well thought-out and achievable approach. Include answers to the items 1, 2 above, and a sample of your proposal would look like for the first sandbox release you provided to the user.

# Section 5 – Individual Work

During this part of the assignment, you and the team will need to:

1. Plan ahead to determine what needs to be done to the end of the project
2. Negotiate a migration plan for the project with the operational owner. How would they start using your product given existing workflows?

Read and analyze the K50 Production Criteria for Development Projects.

Also review the Course outline to understand the future deliverables.

Note all the work in this section is captured in a single spreadsheet document.

## Section 5 Part A – Identify Requirements and Deliverables and current status

Put together a spreadsheet that captures:

1. Requirements and Deliverables. Organize and number these based on the numbering in the “K50 Production Criteria for Development Projects” document for traceability. (i.e. 3.2 refers to Operational documentation).
2. Current status of each deliverable: (New, In Progress, Done)
3. Comment/concerns/risks – add a text column to capture any comments, concerns or risks

## Section 5 Part B – Identify outstanding work items, loose planning

For the items that are not **Done** from Section 5 Part A, add columns in your spreadsheet to capture

1. Deliver by – Options are {Week 6,7,8,9}. **Propose a “deliver by” deadline.**
2. Effort estimate – in person hours, how much would this be to get to done?

(Exceptions: Do NOT estimate any of the system test and bug fix items)

## Section 5 Part C – Roll up the results and report

Either manually or using your spreadsheet prowess, report on

1. Number of Requirements and Deliverables
2. % done (vs required)
3. Number of outstanding hours to complete

As computer science near-graduates,it’s expected that this chart be comprehensive, detailed, have a proper narrative and correct in its content. An example will be provided.